



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,170	07/11/2003	Mitsuasa Takahashi	016891-0857	3000
22428	7590	08/24/2004	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER				ANYA, IGWE U
SUITE 500				
3000 K STREET NW				
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				2825
ART UNIT				PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/617,170	TAKAHASHI, MITSUASA	
	Examiner Igwe U. Anya	Art Unit 2825	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 June 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6, 12, 13 and 16-18 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 7-11, 14 and 15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al. (US Patent 6512271).

3. Yamazaki et al. teach a method of manufacturing TFT (figs. 2), comprising, depositing non-single crystal semiconductor film (203, 204, 206) on an insulating substrate (202), introducing a dopant (fig. 2B) into substantially the whole of non-single semiconductor film, masking (fig. 2C) a surface of the non-single crystal semiconductor film (221, 222) and introducing a second dopant of opposite conductivity to the first dopant, into the non-single crystal semiconductor film, removing the mask (fig. 2D), irradiating the non-single crystal film with a laser beam to convert the non-single crystal semiconductor film into a single crystal semiconductor film (col. 12 lines 3 – 28), and forming transistors of first and second conductivity types in the crystallized semiconductor film (fig. 2E).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. (US Patent 6512271) in view of Yamazaki (US Patent 6207969).

6. Yamazaki et al. '271 teach the features previously outlined, but lack the ratio of the single crystal quasi-fermi energy levels of the TFT gates at between 0.5:1 and 2:1.

7. However, Yamazaki et al. '969 teach a ratio of the single crystal quasi-fermi energy levels of the NTFT and PTFT being between 0.5:1 and 2:1 (figs. 1A, 2A, 2B).

8. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings to form a TFT without threshold voltage control.

9. Claim 7 – 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al. (US Patent 6512271) in view of Yamazaki et al. (US Patent 6165876).

10. Yamazaki et al. '271 teach the features previously outlined, but lack introducing a dopant into whole of non-single semiconductor film through a protective layer, removing the protective layer and irradiating the non-single crystal film with a laser beam to convert it into single crystal semiconductor film, and subjecting the crystallized semiconductor film to plasma processing and a thermal processing between 290 – 340 degrees C. in an inert gas atmosphere.

11. However, Yamazaki et al. '876 teach introducing a dopant (fig. 1A) into whole of non-single semiconductor film through a protective layer (104) formed on the non-single crystal semiconductor film, removing the protective layer and irradiating the non-single crystal film with a laser beam to convert it into a single crystal semiconductor film (fig. 1B), and subjecting the crystallized semiconductor film to plasma processing and thermal processing between 290 – 340 degrees C. in an inert gas atmosphere (col. 14 lines 27 – 34).

12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings to control the TFT threshold voltage.

**Remarks**

13. The examiner has reviewed prior art in light of applicant's comments and amendment. Applicant's amendment does not include some of the intervening limitations of previously objected claims. Rejections of the claims with new references are as above. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

14. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

***Contact Information***

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Igwe U. Anya whose telephone number is (571) 272-1887. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Igwe U. Anya  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2825

IA  
August 16 2004



MATTHEW SMITH  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800